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What is ICNIRP?

ICNIRP is an independent scientific organization that:

- Provides **guidance and advice** on the health hazards of non-ionizing radiation (NIR)
- Develops **international guidelines** on limiting exposure to NIR that are independent and science-based
- Provide **science-based** guidance and recommendations on protection from NIR exposure
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A multi-disciplinary approach

Individual expertise
- Medicine
- Biology
- Toxicology
- Epidemiology
- Physics
- Engineering

Collective evaluation
Standing Committees

• SC I – Epidemiology
• SC II – Biology and Medicine
• SC III – Physics and Engineering
• SC IV – Optical radiation
Where to learn about ICNIRP’s methodology?

ICNIRP Statement

GENERAL APPROACH TO PROTECTION AGAINST NON-IONIZING RADIATION

www.icnirp.org
Fundamentals of ICNIRP Guidelines

- Procedures and criteria are defined *a priori*
- Restrictions are based on science.
- No consideration for economic or social issues
- Only established effects are considered
Review of the literature

All published studies are taken into consideration

The evidence is weighted based upon:

• Scientific quality
• Replicability
• Consistency
Development of guidelines

• Critical review of the literature

• Identification of health effects and biological effects relevant for health

• Identification of the critical effect

• Establishment of basic restrictions

• Derivation of reference levels
Hierarchy of data

epidemiology

humans

animals

cells

Biological models
Dosimetry
Established effects of RF fields

- Absorption of electromagnetic energy
- Increase of body temperature
  (whole-body or local)
- Thermal effects
  (with threshold)
Biologically effective quantity

\[ \text{SAR} = \frac{\text{absorbed RF power}}{\text{mass of tissues}} \]

one kilogramme

\[ \text{SAR} = 1000 \ \text{W/kg} \]

1000 watts
Threshold-based approach

- Health Effects
- critical effect 4 W/kg
- occupational limit 0.4 W/kg
- general public limit 0.08 W/kg
Present ICNIRP Standard

ICNIRP Guidelines

Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz)

www.icnirp.org
Crucial questions

• Are guidelines for RF fields outdated?
• When will the guidelines be revised?
• Will the protection system change in the future?
• Will exposure limits change in the future?
Evolution of ICNIRP Guidelines

• RF (interim) 1984
• RF 1988
• 50/60 Hz fields (interim) 1990
• Static magnetic fields 1994
• Time-varying EMF >0 Hz-300 GHz 1998

Basic features of guidelines have not changed overtime
Are the RF Guidelines outdated?

• Guidelines for time-varying fields were last updated in 1998

• “Old” does not necessarily mean “not valid any longer”!

• Long duration is in general a proof of good quality

• A balance between stability and updating is needed
Revision of standards

• Why?
• How?
• When?
Why revise a standard?

• New scientific evidence (new effects, changes in thresholds, refinement of dosimetry)
• New technologies (revision of safety factors, possibility of relaxation)
• Outdated research database
Bad reasons for revising a science-based standard

• Social pressure

• Different regulation issued by national or local authorities

• Time passed from last revision
How to revise a standard?

Depending on the evaluation of the literature, the guidelines may be subject to:

- Global revision
- Refinement/clarification
- Confirmation
When to revise a standard?

The revision of a standard is a long process that involves different bodies:

- Review of science ICNIRP
- Evaluation of carcinogenicity IARC
- Global risk evaluation WHO-ICNIRP
- Update of standards ICNIRP
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Confirmation of the RF standard

ICNIRP STATEMENT ON THE “GUIDELINES FOR LIMITING EXPOSURE TO TIME-VARYING ELECTRIC, MAGNETIC, AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS (UP TO 300 GHz)”

The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection*

INTRODUCTION

Since the publication of the ICNIRP “Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz)” (ICNIRP 1998) many scientific studies of the effects of such fields have been published. In the frequency range up to approximately 100 kHz several scientific reviews and health hazard assessments have been undertaken by organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) effects such as “work stoppage” caused by mild whole-body heat stress and/or tissue damage caused by excessive localized heating (D’Andrea et al. 2007). With regard to non-thermal interactions, it is in principle impossible to disprove their possible existence but the plausibility of the various non-thermal mechanisms that have been proposed is very low. In addition, the recent in vitro and animal genotoxicity and carcinogenicity studies are rather consistent overall and indicate that such effects are unlikely at low levels of exposure. Therefore, ICNIRP

Health Physics 97:257-259 (2009)
www.icnirp.org
Confirmation of established effects

“It is the opinion of ICNIRP, that the scientific literature published since the 1998 guidelines has provided no evidence of any adverse effects below the basic restrictions and does not necessitate an immediate revision of its guidance on limiting exposure to high frequency electromagnetic fields.”
Evaluation of long-term effects

“ICNIRP recently published a review of the scientific evidence on the health effects of radiofrequency exposure from mobile phones. We found the existing evidence did not support an increased risk of brain tumours in mobile phone users within the duration of use yet investigated.”
ICNIRP on the Interphone Study

“The subsequent publication of the Interphone study has added greatly to the volume of evidence available. ICNIRP believes on preliminary review of the results, however, that they do not change the overall conclusions. ICNIRP therefore considers that the results of the Interphone study give no reason for alteration of the current guidelines.”
Conclusions (Personal views)

• A balance is needed between updating and stability of the standards
• The scientific evidence has been consolidated and risk evaluation is unlikely to change in its conclusions
• Most probably, the next revision of RF guidelines will not compromise the adequateness of present limits
• Relevant modifications of basic restrictions and reference levels are unlikely to occur in the future